

**Beyond Nuclear | Friends of the Earth | Nuclear Information and Resource Service
| Natural Resources Defense Council | Physicians for Social Responsibility
| Taxpayers for Common Sense | Union of Concerned Scientists | Public Citizen**

The Honorable John Spratt
Chairman
House Committee on the Budget
207 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Paul Ryan
Ranking Member
House Committee on the Budget
B-71 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

April 14, 2009

Oppose Energy Loan Guarantee and Reprocessing Amendments in Budget Resolution Conference

Dear Chairman Spratt and Ranking Member Ryan:

We urge you to oppose the inclusion of Senate amendments 733 and 734 in the final conference version of the Budget Resolution. Offered by Sen. Crapo (R-ID), these amendments support \$50 billion for Title XVII loan guarantees that could be applied to the construction of new reactors (#733) and an increase in funding for nuclear waste reprocessing research and development (#734).

Additional loan guarantees for new nuclear reactors would create a significant liability to U.S. taxpayers. Even prior to the current credit freeze, Wall Street determined that the nuclear industry was such a poor financial risk that it would not loan the industry money absent guarantees from the federal government that those loans would be repaid. The Congressional Budget Office (CBO) estimates the likelihood of default for loans made to nuclear reactor developers to be “well above 50 percent.” These risks are intensified by nuclear power’s enormous capital costs and long lead times. Estimates of construction costs for nuclear reactors have more than tripled since 2000. According to an October 2008 report by the credit rating agency Standard & Poor’s, the costs continue to “soar” due to production bottlenecks, increasing costs of materials, and lack of trained workers and utility construction experience. The showcase reactor under construction in Finland is already three years behind schedule and more than 50 percent over budget. Given the industry’s track record, it should not be granted additional taxpayer loan guarantees above the \$18.5 billion in the current DOE loan guarantee program.

Reprocessing is an expensive and polluting process and poses a significant proliferation risk. DOE has already received \$145 million in reprocessing R&D funding in the FY2009 Omnibus package, which is more than double what the program received as recently as FY2004 (\$66 million). Amid growing criticism of the process, DOE has scaled back its reprocessing plans, including deciding not to site a new R&D facility. Therefore, Congress should not increase funding for reprocessing.

It is unacceptable to ask taxpayers to shoulder the costs and risks of these technologies when other faster, cleaner and cost-effective alternatives exist. Thank you for your support on this issue.

Sincerely,

Kevin Kamps
Radioactive Waste Watchdog
Beyond Nuclear

Michele Boyd
Director, Safe Energy Program
Physicians for Social Responsibility

Erich Pica
Director, Domestic Programs
Friends of the Earth

Autumn Hanna
Senior Program Director
Taxpayers for Common Sense

Michael Mariotte
Executive Director
Nuclear Information and Resource Service

Alan Noguee
Director, Clean Energy Campaign
Union of Concerned Scientists

Geoffrey Fettus
Senior Project Attorney
Natural Resources Defense Council

Tyson Slocum
Director, Energy Program
Public Citizen

CC: The Honorable Nancy Pelosi
The Honorable John Boehner
The Honorable Steny Hoyer
The Honorable Eric Cantor
Members, Committee on the Budget