

Fermi3CEM Resource

From: Kevin Kamps [kevin@beyondnuclear.org]
Sent: Wednesday, January 11, 2012 8:58 PM
To: Fermi3COLEIS Resource
Subject: Public comment on Fermi 3 DEIS, Docket ID NRC-2008-0566
Attachments: Dirty Dozen Environmental Reasons to Block Fermi 3 Feb 2 2009-1.pdf

Dear NRC,

This is public comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Combined License (COL) for Enrico Fermi Unit 3, report number NUREG-2105, Docket ID NRC-2008-0566.

I would like to re-submit something I submitted three years ago to NRC, during the environmental scoping portion of this same proceeding. It is attached. It is entitled "The 'Dirty Dozen' Reasons to Block the Proposed Fermi 3 Atomic Reactor." It was signed by a large number of environmental groups and concerned citizens throughout the Great Lakes Basin, and beyond.

The reason I am re-submitting it is that none of the environmental risks raised in these three year old comments have been addressed by Detroit Edison or NRC. In fact, they have grown worse since then.

For example, regarding the first point, "There are no safe, sound solutions for the deadly radioactive wastes that Fermi 3 would generate," the Obama administration has cancelled the proposed Yucca Mountain dump since 2009. We are back to the year 1957 in regards to our high-level radioactive waste problem -- we have no solution in sight.

Regarding "The inevitable safety risks of accidents associated with Fermi 3 favor efficiency and renewables as safer alternatives," the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Catastrophe has shown what General Electric designed and Hitachi built reactors are capable of. At the same time, efficiency and renewables have grown by leaps and bounds. Germany, in the aftermath of Fukushima, has pledged to phase out nuclear power by 2022, and replace it with renewables and efficiency. If the fourth largest economy on the planet can do this, so can DTE, with so much potential for wind, solar, and efficiency in its Region of Interest.

Regarding "Fermi's emergency evacuation plan is already unworkable, making yet another reactor unacceptable," the Fukushima Nuclear Catastrophe has shown the societal chaos that erupts downwind and downstream of a failed GE BWR Mark 1, of which Fermi 2 is the largest in the world. Fermi 3 would merely add to the risk of a multi-unit catastrophe in southeast Michigan, as has occurred in Japan.

Regarding "Toxic discharges from Fermi 3 would threaten Lake Erie's fragile ecosystem," and "Lake Erie's shallow western basin cannot tolerate the thermal pollution from yet one more large-scale thermo-electric power plant," the algae infestation of Lake Erie has only grown worse in the past three years. Fermi 3's thermal and chemical discharges would make this already grave situation all the worse.

Regarding "DTE's proposed 'Economically Simplified Boiling Water Reactor' (ESBWR) design is woefully incomplete, and thus the current NRC licensing proceeding is premature," the ESBWR design has still not been certified. The present NRC DEIS is premature in numerous ways.

Regarding "Taxpayer and ratepayer subsidies for Fermi 3 represent opportunity costs lost to safer, cheaper, and cleaner alternatives such as efficiency and renewable sources of electricity," it is now common knowledge that Detroit Edison rushed its COLA in order to take advantage of \$300 million in tax incentives. This half-baked proposal was undertaken for all the wrong reasons, and remains dangerously half-baked today.

Sincerely,

Kevin Kamps, Beyond Nuclear
Don't Waste Michigan board member, representing the Kalamazoo chapter
Member, Great Lakes United Nuclear-Free/Green Energy Task Force

--

Kevin Kamps
Radioactive Waste Watchdog
Beyond Nuclear
6930 Carroll Avenue, Suite 400
Takoma Park, Maryland 20912
Office: (301) 270-2209 ext. 1
Cell: (240) 462-3216
Fax: (301) 270-4000
kevin@beyondnuclear.org
www.beyondnuclear.org

Beyond Nuclear aims to educate and activate the public about the connections between nuclear power and nuclear weapons and the need to abandon both to safeguard our future. Beyond Nuclear advocates for an energy future that is sustainable, benign and democratic.

Federal Register Notice: 76FR66998
Comment Number: 43

Mail Envelope Properties (CAFNCop4pmFKQROQ7O3oq5RitGmGw3pBs692itHNaod_LHi8neQ)

Subject: Public comment on Fermi 3 DEIS, Docket ID NRC-2008-0566
Sent Date: 1/11/2012 8:58:08 PM
Received Date: 1/11/2012 8:58:12 PM
From: Kevin Kamps

Created By: kevin@beyondnuclear.org

Recipients:
"Fermi3COLEIS Resource" <Fermi3COLEIS.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: mail.gmail.com

Files	Size	Date & Time	
MESSAGE	4022	1/11/2012 8:58:12 PM	
Dirty Dozen Environmental Reasons to Block Fermi 3 Feb 2 2009-1.pdf			74091

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

February 8, 2009

Subject: Docket ID NRC-2008-0566

Chief, Rulemaking, Directives and Editing Branch
Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555-0001
via e-mail: Fermi3.coleis@nrc.gov

The “Dirty Dozen” Reasons to Block the Proposed Fermi 3 Atomic Reactor
Environmental Scoping Comments to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)

There are many reasons that DTE’s proposed new reactor at its Fermi Nuclear Power Plant near Monroe, Michigan on the Lake Erie shoreline is unacceptable. But the top twelve objections the environmental movement of the Great Lakes region cites against the dirty, dangerous, and expensive Fermi 3 reactor are:

- 1. There are no safe, sound solutions for the deadly radioactive wastes that Fermi 3 would generate.** The Obama administration has pledged to cancel the proposed Yucca Mountain dumpsite in Nevada, due to its geologic unsuitability. Reprocessing irradiated nuclear fuel, to extract plutonium for supposed re-use, risks nuclear weapons proliferation and disastrous radioactive contamination of the air and water, and would cost taxpayers hundreds of billions of dollars. On-site storage in indoor pools or outdoor dry casks, as currently done at Fermi 2, risks catastrophic radioactivity releases due to accident or attack, as well as eventual leakage due to breakdown of the storage containers. A 2001 NRC report, for example, revealed that 25,000 fatal cancers could result downwind of a waste pool fire. A 1998 anti-tank missile test at the U.S. Army’s Aberdeen Proving Ground showed dry casks vulnerable to attack. Even consolidating wastes at “centralized interim storage” centers would leave them vulnerable to accidents or attacks, and risks environmental injustice, as low income communities of color are most often targeted. All away-from-reactor storage proposals would risk severe accidents or attacks upon shipping containers on the roads, rails, or waterways, including the Great Lakes. Even Fermi 3’s so-called “low” level radioactive wastes have nowhere to go. Barnwell, South Carolina has closed its dumpsite to Michigan wastes. Every “low” level dump opened in the U.S. has leaked, and most have had to be closed. An imminent Texas dump may be licensed to accept wastes from Fermi 3 sometime in the future, but puts the underlying Ogallala Aquifer at risk of radioactive contamination. Especially considering cleaner alternatives, such as efficiency and renewables, it is a moral transgression against future generations to create a forever deadly hazard like radioactive waste, just to generate 40 to 60 years of electricity. Fermi 3 would increase the risk that Michigan would be targeted for a national high-level radioactive waste dumpsite, and/or a regional “low” level dump, as has occurred in the past.
- 2. The inevitable safety risks of accidents associated with Fermi 3 favor efficiency and renewables as safer alternatives.** A 1982 NRC report showed that a major accident at Fermi 2 releasing catastrophic amounts of radioactivity could cause 8,000 “peak early fatalities,” 340,000 “peak early injuries,” 13,000 “peak cancer deaths,” and \$136 billion in property damage. Given population growth since, casualties would be even worse in the present day. And when adjusted for inflation, such damages would now top \$288 billion. Similar or even worse casualties and damages could result from an accident at the larger Fermi 3 reactor. In fact, untested new reactors with undetected technical glitches are at significantly increased risk of suffering a major accident. Fermi 1, Three Mile Island and Chernobyl were new reactors when they suffered their infamous accidents. Old reactors are also at elevated accident risk due to age-related breakdown of safety significant systems, as occurred at Davis-Besse nuclear plant near Toledo in 2002. Thus, the geriatric Fermi 2 and the brand new Fermi 3, immediately adjacent to one another, would

represent the worst of both worlds, the extremes of atomic reactor risks. An accident at one could even spread to the other.

3. **Given the inherent vulnerability of Fermi 3 to terrorist attack, efficiency and renewables are more protective and secure energy choices.** Fermi is located midway between the major population centers in the Detroit/Windsor and Toledo metro areas. It is on the shore of Lake Erie, upstream of the drinking water supply for tens of millions in the U.S. and Canada. Fermi 2's reactor and on-site wastes are already at risk of terrorism. Fermi 3 would effectively double these risks of attack. As with accidents, a malicious large-scale radiological release from Fermi 3 would result in countless casualties and unimaginable property damages downwind and downstream, not to mention catastrophic ecological havoc.

4. **Fermi's emergency evacuation plan is already unworkable, making yet another reactor unacceptable.** NRC's ten mile emergency planning zone is arbitrarily small. Hazardous and even deadly radioactivity could extend over a much greater distance. Emergency planning should extend at least 50 miles, and should include the surrounding major population centers of Detroit/Windsor, Toledo, and Ann Arbor. Current evacuation routes are too narrow, and must be expanded to accommodate a mass exodus in the event of a major accident or attack. During severe winter weather, current road clearing capabilities are woefully inadequate and must be upgraded in surrounding areas. The Jefferson public school system, so near Fermi, does not even have an adequate school bus fleet to perform an emergency evacuation. The Jefferson Schools District should be provided with enough buses and drivers to evacuate the *entire* student population in a single run – North Elementary School, Jefferson Middle School and Jefferson High School (all less than three miles from the Fermi II site), Sordt Elementary School (~3.5 miles), and Hurd Road Elementary School (within the 5-mile radius). This egregious emergency preparedness inadequacy must be rectified before Fermi 3 is licensed. Potassium iodide tablets, along with instructions for proper usage, should be distributed regularly within the 50 mile emergency planning zone, as should emergency evacuation plan instructions.

5. **"Routine" radioactivity releases from Fermi 3 would harm human health.** Even new reactors like Fermi 3 will release significant amounts of radioactivity directly into the environment. These would include so-called "planned" and "permitted" releases from the reactor's "routine" operations, as well as unplanned releases from leaks and accidents. Atomic reactors are designed to release radioactive liquids and gases into the air, water, and soil, which can then bio-concentrate in the ecosystem and human bodies. Liquid releases, which at Fermi are discharged into Lake Erie, include tritium, which can incorporate into the human biological system, even down to the DNA level. Once organically bound, tritium can persist in the human body for long periods, emitting damaging radioactive doses. Tritium can cross the placenta from mother to fetus. Current radiation health standards are not protective of women, children, nor fetuses. The Institute for Energy and Environmental Research has launched a campaign called "Healthy from the Start," which urges NRC, EPA, and other agencies to protect the more vulnerable "Reference Pregnant Woman" from such radioactive hazards as tritium, rather than "Reference Man" as is currently done. The State of Colorado has instituted a tritium regulation 40 times stronger than the federal standard; California has a 50-fold stronger standard. Michiganders deserve equally strong protection.

Large-scale accidental tritium leaks into groundwater in Illinois, that had been covered up for a decade by the nuclear utility and state environmental agency, were uncovered in early 2006 by a concerned mother whose daughter had contracted brain cancer at age 7. A cluster of rare childhood brain cancers were then documented in the community of Morris, Illinois, home to three atomic reactors and a high-level radioactive waste storage facility. The scandal led to the revelation of widespread accidental tritium releases nationwide at almost all atomic reactors.

Accidents at atomic reactors can lead to the large-scale release of harmful radioactivity into the environment. For example, the turbine explosion at Fermi 2 reactor on Christmas Day, 1993 led to DTE's release of two million gallons of radioactively contaminated water into Lake Erie. A new reactor at Fermi

will effectively double such accident risks: "break in phase" accident risks at the new Fermi 3 reactor, and "break down phase" accident risks at the deteriorated, old Fermi 2 reactor. Incredibly, Fermi 1 experienced an accidental release of thousands of gallons of tritium-contaminated water in 2007, *35 years after the reactor had been permanently shut down!* The nearby Davis-Besse reactor also recently admitted tritium leaks into the environment.

Radioactivity releases occur not only at reactors, but at every step of the nuclear fuel chain. Accurate accounting of all radioactive wastes released to the air, water and soil from the *entire reactor fuel production system* is simply not available. The nuclear fuel chain includes uranium mines and mills (often located near indigenous peoples communities), chemical conversion, enrichment and fuel fabrication plants, reactors, and radioactive waste storage pools, casks, trenches and other dumps. Fermi 3 would increase the risk that new uranium mining in the Great Lakes basin, such as at Eagle Rock near Marquette and the Keweenaw Bay Indian Community in Michigan's Upper Peninsula, would go ahead.

As confirmed for the seventh time by the U.S. National Academy of Sciences in 2006 in its "Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation" report (BEIR VII), *every exposure to radiation increases the risk to human health*. Radioactivity can damage tissues, cells, DNA and other vital molecules, potentially causing programmed cell death (apoptosis), genetic mutations, cancers, leukemias, birth defects, and reproductive, immune, cardiovascular and endocrine system disorders.

A new reactor at Fermi would add to the cumulative impact of such "routine releases" already occurring at operating atomic reactors, namely Fermi 2 and Davis-Besse, on Lake Erie's shallow, fish-rich western basin.

- 6. Fermi 2's operations are correlated with local increases in cancer rates and other diseases, a radioactive health risk that Fermi 3 would make even worse.** Janette Sherman, MD of the Environmental Institute at Western Michigan University published "Childhood Leukaemia Near Nuclear Installations" in a recent edition of the *European Journal of Cancer Care*. Using mortality statistics from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Sherman examined data from 1985-2004 and determined that when measured against background levels in the rest of the U.S., leukemia rates have increased for children that live near nuclear reactors. She found an increase of 13.9% near nuclear plants started up between 1957-1970 (oldest plants); an increase of 9.4% near nuclear plants started up between 1971-1981 (newer plants); and a decrease of 5.5% near nuclear plants started up between 1957-1981 and later shut down.

Joe Mangano of the Radiation and Public Health Project has documented that in the early 1980s, before Fermi 2 began operating in 1988, the Monroe County cancer death rate was 36th highest of 83 Michigan counties. But by the early 2000s, it had moved up to 13th highest. From 1979-1988, the cancer death rate among Monroe County residents under age 25 was 21.2% below the U.S. rate. But from 1989-2005, when Fermi 2 was fully operational, the local rate was 45.5% above the U.S. rate. The energy efficiency and renewable alternatives to Fermi 3 do not involve such radioactive health risks.

NRC should address the additional radioactivity exposures caused by discharges from the burning of coal at Monroe County's two fossil fuel plants. Radiation monitoring should be installed at those facilities. The cumulative impacts and incremental changes caused by a new reactor should be evaluated.

- 7. Toxic discharges from Fermi 3 would threaten Lake Erie's fragile ecosystem.** Biocides, such as chemicals used to control zebra mussels, would be used in significant quantities and then released into Lake Erie. Cleaning solvents, heavy metals, and even fossil fuels integral to Fermi 3's operations would also be released into Lake Erie. Over a decade ago, the U.S.-Canadian International Joint Commission called for the virtual elimination of toxic chemicals into the Great Lakes, a goal Fermi 3 would not meet. Lake Erie, already suffering from phosphorus contamination and risking a return of algal blooms and consequent dead zones, is too fragile for yet another large-scale source of significant toxic contamination. Given Fermi 3's

inevitable radiological and toxic releases, drinking water intakes from Lake Erie must be required to constantly monitor contaminants in order to adequately protect public health. NRC should address the synergistically harmful health impacts due to human exposures to radioactivity and toxic chemicals. Detroit Edison's Environmental Report holds that there are currently no problems with phosphorus contamination or algae in Lake Erie, which is false. NRC should address these issues, and the cumulative impacts that can be expected from adding yet another reactor at the Fermi power plant site.

- 8. Lake Erie's shallow western basin cannot tolerate the thermal pollution from yet one more large-scale thermo-electric power plant.** Lake Erie already faces major lake level loss and retreat of its waters from the current lakeshore due to climate change. It already has a significantly higher air temperature than the rest of the Great Lakes, which contributes to evaporation of Lake Erie's waters. Such water loss will exacerbate overheating, especially in the shallow waters of Lake Erie's western basin, with a current average depth of just 24 feet.

Monroe County already hosts DTE's Monroe (Coal) Power Plant, at 3,000 megawatt-electric, one of the largest in the U.S. It also hosts DTE's Fermi 2 nuclear reactor, as well as Consumers Energy's Whiting Coal Plant. Due to such facilities, many billions of gallons of water are withdrawn from Lake Erie by Monroe County each and every day – an incredibly high percentage of water usage in all of Michigan – and returned super-heated. Additional nuclear reactors and coal plants in northwest Ohio also contribute heat to Lake Erie's western basin. As already seen throughout the Great Lakes, such overheating could even force the shutdown of thermo-electric power plants on hot summer days, significantly impacting the reliability of the electric grid. (In fact, Fermi 3, at 1,560 megawatts-electric, would introduce significant grid instability if it ever shut down for an extended period for any reason whatsoever, thus increasing potential electricity reliability risks that could well require massive purchases of expensive replacement power.)

Given this massive thermal pollution, Fermi 3 should be required to utilize the best available dry cooling tower technology, to minimize or even eliminate water withdrawals from, and heat discharges, into Lake Erie. In addition, DTE's Monroe Coal Plant should be required to install an additional best-available-technology cooling tower.

Fermi 3's intake and outfall is Lake Erie but during at least some conditions the intake and outfall would impact the nearby Maumee Bay estuary, the average depth of which is just five feet, and which is already impacted by the neighboring DTE Monroe coal burning power plant, which uses an average of 1.9 billion gallons of water a day, as well as the adjacent Fermi 2 nuclear plant, which uses an additional tens of millions of gallons a day. Such impacts must be evaluated.

- 9. Fermi 3 would harm Lake Erie's remarkably productive fisheries.** Fermi 3's water usage would worsen the impingement and entrainment of Lake Erie biota already occurring at the numerous large-scale thermo-electric power plants sited on its shores. Negative impacts, including fish kills, must be prevented, to protect sports fisheries as well as Native American fishing rights recognized by legally-binding treaties signed by the U.S. federal government. Harm to all life stages of Lake Erie biota must be analyzed by NRC, and mitigated by DTE at Fermi 3.
- 10. DTE's proposed "Economically Simplified Boiling Water Reactor" (ESBWR) design is woefully incomplete, and thus the current NRC licensing proceeding is premature.** Hundreds of thorny technical questions have yet to be answered, and no "date certain" has been established for final NRC certification. The two largest nuclear power utilities in the U.S., Exelon of Chicago and Entergy of New Orleans, have cancelled four ESBWRs due to the design's uncertain status. It is absurd for the concerned public to be asked to comment on the environmental impacts of a proposed reactor design that does not yet exist. This proceeding should be suspended until the ESBWR design is finalized and NRC-certified.

11. **Taxpayer and ratepayer subsidies for Fermi 3 represent opportunity costs lost to safer, cheaper, and cleaner alternatives such as efficiency and renewable sources of electricity.** The nuclear power industry has enjoyed over *half a trillion dollars* in public support over the past half century. DTE's Fermi Nuclear Power Plant has already benefitted for decades from federal research and development, as well as liability insurance against major accidents. The federal 2005 Energy Policy Act provided yet another \$13 billion in subsidies, tax incentives, and additional support for new reactors. The industry has already successfully lobbied for \$18.5 billion for new reactor federal loan guarantees, approved in Dec. 2007, making taxpayers co-signors on financially risky nuclear construction projects. Now DTE as well as Nuclear Energy Institute lobbyists are seeking additional tens of billions of dollars in nuclear loan guarantees as part of the federal economic stimulus bill, even though Fermi 3 cannot even break ground in the next two years. At the state level, DTE has received approval to charge electric ratepayers hundreds of millions of dollars to pay off its construction debt for Fermi 2. It recently applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for tens of millions of dollars from ratepayers to fund its application to NRC for Fermi 3. Such public funds would be much better invested in energy efficiency, which is seven to ten times more cost effective than a new atomic reactor at reducing greenhouse gas emissions, or in wind power, so plentiful in Michigan and twice as cost effective as nuclear power at carbon reductions.
12. **Fermi 3 is not needed, and rather would displace safer, cheaper, and cleaner energy alternatives such as efficiency and wind power, that better fit Michigan's electricity and job creation needs.** Michigan's economic depression requires cost-effective green job creation, affordable electricity rates to spur business development, and 21st century environmental entrepreneurship. Investment in efficiency represents the lowest hanging energy fruit, with tremendous potential for ratepayer cost savings, cost-effective climate mitigation, and widespread job creation. As reported by the National Renewable Energy Lab, Michigan has the potential to develop 16,000 megawatts of land-based wind power. In addition, MSU's Land Use Institute reported in Oct., 2008 that over 320,000 megawatts of wind power is available to the Great Lakes State off-shore; environmentally-sensitive, strategic development of even a very small fraction of that huge potential could supply Michigan's electricity needs for the foreseeable future, at more affordable rates than Fermi 3, while more cost-effectively creating much larger numbers of jobs.

For the foregoing reasons, our organizations call upon NRC to undertake a careful review of the energy efficiency and renewable energy potential available in DTE's service area, and to find that they are the preferred alternative to Fermi 3.

Sincerely,

Organizations:

Voices for Earth Justice
21695 Rougewood Drive
Southfield, MI 48033
Patricia Gillis, Executive Director

S. (Ziggy) Kleinau, Co-ordinator, CFRE
462 East Rd, RR#4
Lion's Head ON N0H 1W0

Kathryn Barnes
Don't Waste Michigan
Sherwood Chapter

PO Box 26
Sherwood, MI 49089

Rochelle Becker, Executive Director
Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility
www.a4nr.org
PO 1328
San Luis Obispo, Ca 93406-1328

Linda Seeley
Terra Foundation
San Luis Obispo, CA

Keith Gunter
Citizens Resistance at Fermi Two
Livonia, MI

David A. Kraft, Director
Nuclear Energy Information Service (NEIS)
Mail & Street Address:
3411 W. Diversey, Ste. 16
Chicago, IL 60647 USA

Derek Coronado
Coordinator
Citizens Environment Alliance of southwestern Ontario
1950 Ottawa St.
Windsor, ON N8Y 1R7
CANADA
Eileen McCabe
Acting for a Greener World
Nuclear Policy Advisor
7715 S 1300 W
West Jordan UT 84084

Deanna Taylor
Green Party of Utah
National delegate
4696 S. Sunstone Rd # 201
Taylorsville UT 84123

Glenn Carroll
Coordinator
NUCLEAR WATCH SOUTH
P.O. Box 8574
Atlanta, GA 31106

Lisa Bashert
Badger Books
909 Grant Street
Ypsilanti MI 48197

Deb Katz

Citizens Awareness Network
P.O. Box 83
Shelburne Falls, MA 01370

Dr. Judith Johnsrud
Director
Environmental Coalition on Nuclear Power
433 Orlando Avenue
State College, PA 16803

Lewis E. Patrie, MD, Chair
Western N. C. Physicians for Social Responsibility
99 Eastmoor Drive
Asheville, NC 28805

Grandmothers for Peace/San Luis Obispo County Chapter
Molly Johnson/area coordinator
San Miguel, CA

Ken Bossong
Executive Director
SUN DAY Campaign
6930 Carroll Avenue #340
Takoma Park, MD 20912

Rosalie Bertell, Ph.D.
1750 Quarry Rd.
Yardley PA 19067
Regent, International Physicians for Humanitarian Medicine, Geneva, Switzerland
International Science Advisory Board, Organic Consumers Association, Washington, DC

Chuck Jordan
Vice-Chair, Van Buren County Greens
50521 34th Ave.
Bangor, MI 49013

Fred Vitale
State Chairperson*
Green Party of Michigan
*for identification purposes only

David Gard
Energy Program Director
Michigan Environmental Council
Lansing, MI

Shelley Vinyard
Environmental Associate
Environment Michigan
103 E. Liberty St., Suite 202
Ann Arbor, MI 48103

Derek Stack

Executive Director
Great Lakes United
US Office
Buffalo State College, Cassety Hall
1300 Elmwood Ave.
Buffalo, New York, 14222

Sierra Club Southeast Michigan Group
Ed McArdle, Conservation Co-Chair

Gordon Edwards, Ph.D., President,
Canadian Coalition for Nuclear Responsibility,
cp. 236 Station "Snowdon" Montreal QC H3X 3T4

Citizens for Alternatives to Chemical Contamination
Kay Cumbow, Interim Chair
8735 Maple Grove Road
Lake, MI 48632-9511

Susan E. Harley, J.D.
Policy Associate
Clean Water Action
1200 Michigan Ave, Ste A
East Lansing, MI 48823

Derek Grigsby
Chairperson
Detroit Green Party
Douglas Campbell, PE
Registered professional engineer, former nuclear engineer
Green Party of Michigan
335 e Lewiston Street
Ferndale, Michigan 48220-1356

JOHN LaFORGE, BONNIE URFER, PAUL VOS BENKOWSKI
NUKEWATCH
740A ROUND LAKE ROAD
LUCK, WSC 54853

Debra Stoleroff
Plainfield,VT
Vermont Yankee Decommissioning Alliance
PO Box 1316, Montpelier, VT 05601

Windsor Essex County Environment Committee
Ron Elliott, Coordinator
143 Ellison Ave.
Leamington, ON N8H 5H9
Canada

Alice Slater
Nuclear Age Peace Foundation, NY
446 E. 86 St.

New York, NY 10028

Terry Miller
Chairman
Lone Tree Council
P.O. 1251
Bay City, MI 48706

Terry Lodge
Toledo Coalition for Safe Energy
316 N Michigan St
Toledo, OH 43604

Sandy Bihn
Executive Director/Waterkeeper
Western Lake Erie Waterkeeper Association
6565 Bayshore Road, Oregon, OH 43618

Alice Hirt
Don't Waste Michigan
6677 Summitview Dr.
Holland, MI 49423

Michael Keegan
Coalition for a Nuclear-Free Great Lakes
811 Harrison
Monroe, MI 48161-1421

Kevin Kamps
Beyond Nuclear
6930 Carroll Avenue, Ste. 400
Takoma Park, MD 20912

Individuals:

Kay Cumbow
15184 Dudley Road
Brown City, MI 48416

Victor McManemy 7786 Peninsula, Traverse City, 49685

Ineke Way
1938 Oakland Dr
Kalamazoo, MI 49008

Ronald and Joyce Mason
30840 Running Stream #21
Farmington Hills, Mi 48334

Brad Wilson
19 Hollywood Court
Mount Clemens, MI 48043

Harold One Feather
7 Highland Ave
Piedmont, CA 94611

Catherine Quigg
838 Harriet Lane
Barrington, IL 60010,
member of NEIS and NIRS

Henry "Hal" Newnan
27156 Gail Dr.
Warren MI 48093-7538

Alexis Raney
291 Sherrie
Northville, MI 48167

Edward Steinman
621 5th St
Ann Arbor, MI 48103

Rebecca Silver
Erb Institute for Global Sustainable Enterprise
MBA/MS Class of 2011 | University of Michigan
Ross School of Business | School of Natural Resources and Environment
723 E. Kingsley No. 304 | Ann Arbor MI | 48104

Deanna Homer
2105 E Marcus
Stillwater, OK 74075

Don Richardson, M.D.
577 Windover Drive,
Brevard, NC 28712

Sally Shaw
100 River Rd.
Gill, MA 01354

Joellen Gilchrist
19180 Riverside Dr.
Beverly Hills, MI 48025

Frances Lamberts, 113 Ridge Lane, Jonesborough, TN 37659

Bryan Shaw, Westminster West, Vermont 05346

Tom Maclean

Timothy Schacht, DVM
1330 Whittier Road
Grosse Pointe Park, MI 48230

Douglas and Joan Shaw, 49 Cottage Street, Manchester Center, Vermont

Maure Briggs-Carrington
22 X Street
Turners Falls, MA 01376

Scott Ainslie and Barb Ackemann
101 Washington St.
Brattleboro, VT 05301

Robert Hannon
P.O. Box 187
Benton Harbor, MI

Morton Skorodin, M.D.
1312 Manning Ct
Stillwater, OK 74075

Rita Mitchell
621 Fifth St.
Ann Arbor, MI 48103

Mr and Mrs. David P. Vogelpohl
7920 W 133 St So
Sapulpa, OK 74066

Carol McGeehan
568 West 31st
Holland MI 49423